

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,263	263 06/26/2003		Benjamin N. Eldridge	P150D1-US	8103
50905	7590	11/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	ETH BURI		KIM, PAUL D		
KIRTON & P.O. BOX 4	: MCCONK 15120	IE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAK	E-CITY, U	T 84145-0120		3729	
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·		The
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/609,263	ELDRIDGE, BENJA	MIN N.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Paul D. Kim	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	1 September 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	5 <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from con		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyal rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the election of species filed on 9/1/2005.

Response to the Election of Species

- 1. Upon further review, examiner agrees with the applicant's argument in part, the election of species as set forth mailed on 8/1/2005 is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. Since the claims 22-40 have been examined previously mailed the first office with the "Double Patenting" rejection on 2/14/2005, the claims 22-40 should be pending. In addition to that, the claim 47 should be included to the pending claims and the non-elect claims 41-46 have been withdrawn. Also, the status of the newly submitted claims 48, 49 and 54-57 should be changed from "(withdrawn)" to –(new)--.
- 3. According to the amendment filed on 5/18/2005 with the "Terminal Disclaimer", newly submitted claims 48-57 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The claim 48 is a dependent claim of the withdrawn claim 41. Therefore the claim 48 should be withdrawn.

The claim 49 is a dependent claim of the withdrawn claim 44. Therefore the claim 49 should be withdrawn.

The claims 50 and 51 have a distinct limitation from the invention originally claimed such as "if said module passes said testing, repeating said testing step at a newly selected operating speed". The originally claimed invention for claim 40 recites, "if said module passes said testing, removing said integrated circuits from said module

Art Unit: 3729

substrate, and permanently securing the integrated circuits to a second module substrate. Therefore, the claims 50 and 51 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

The claim 54 also has a distinct limitation from the invention originally claimed such as "if said module passes said testing, rating said module... speed". Since the originally claimed invention for claim 40 recites as set forth above, therefore, the claim 54 is also withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 48-51 and 54 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

4. The newly submitted claims 52, 53 and 55-57 contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to determine a number of the integrated circuits attached to the module substrate that caused the module to fail the testing for claims 52 and 53.

Species B, drawn to determine a number of times the module has failed the test at the selected operating speed for claims 55-57.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 22 is a generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,263 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim Examiner Art Unit 3729